

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/731,211		12/06/2000	Joseph Thomas O'Neil	2000-0356	8625
26652	7590	05/20/2004		EXAMINER	
AT&T CO	ORP.		LY, NGHI H		
P.O. BOX 4110 MIDDLETOWN, NJ 07748				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIDDLEI	OWIN, IN	711, 113 07710	•	2686	9
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ο, ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/731,211	O'NEIL, JOSEPH THOMAS
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Nghi H. Ly	2686
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠ Tł 3)∐ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Fe</u> his action is FINAL . 2b) This ince this application is in condition for allowance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
	·	x parte Quayle, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0 0.0. 210.
Disposition	ı of Claims		
4a 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	laim(s) 1-3,5 and 9-18 is/are pending in the all of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) 1-3,5 and 9-18 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	•
Application	ı Papers		
9)□ Th 10)⊠ Th Ap	the specification is objected to by the Examiner the drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2004 is/are oplicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the open country of the co	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b)	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ethe attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage
Attachment(s)		n □	/DTO 110)
2) Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) cition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/731,211

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 02/23/2004. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claim 1, a newly added limitation recites "<u>comparing the</u>

<u>demographics information</u> of the user wireless terminal to products and/or services

offered by the seller and, if the demographics information is consistent with the seller".

However, in the Applicant's specification page 12, lines 4-5 of the present invention, it merely discloses "comparing merchant location information from merchant database 250 with user location samples from measurements log 260" (also see Applicant's specification page 18, lines 3-4).

Therefore, the above claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Application/Control Number: 09/731,211 Page 3

Art Unit: 2686

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 9-13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stewart (US 6,546,257) in view of Calvert (US 6,526,275).

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Stewart teaches a method of selecting merchants for transmission of advertising information (see column 2, lines 63-67) to a user of a mobile wireless terminal (see fig.1 wireless connection between portable telephone 28 and base station 17), comprising: comparing a plurality of geographic location samples of a wireless terminal with a geographic location of a seller to determine whether the wireless terminal has frequently traveled in proximity to the seller (see column 7, lines 18-27), and if the wireless terminal has frequently traveled in proximity to the seller (see column 5, lines 44-52), selecting the seller as an entity that may be interested in having an advertisement transmitted to a user of the terminal (see column 11, lines 5-21).

Stewart does not specifically disclose comparing the demographics information of the user wireless terminal to products and/or services offered by the seller and, if the demographics information is consistent with the seller.

Art Unit: 2686

Calvert teaches comparing the demographics information of the user wireless terminal to products and/or services offered by the seller and if the demographics information is consistent with the seller (see column 3, lines 29-38, column 9, lines 16-21, see column 14, lines 5-29, and see column 15, lines 45-59).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Calvert into the system of Stewart in order to determine the likelihood that the device user will actually purchase or otherwise obtain their product and, therefore, whether or not to expect a financial return or other benefit from the costs of advertising their products to the device user (see Calvert, column 9, lines 28-34).

Regarding claims 2 and 11, Stewart further teaches determining whether the wireless terminal has frequently traveled in proximity to the seller involves determining whether a predetermined number of the geographic location samples are within a predetermined distance of the seller (see column 2, lines 14-19 and see column 2, lines 58-63).

Regarding claim 3, Stewart further teaches selecting the seller is dependent upon timing information associated with the wireless terminal's travels in proximity to the seller (see column 11, lines 29-53).

Regarding claim 5, Stewart further teaches selecting the seller is dependent upon whether the seller is of the type seller from whom the user is willing to accept advertisements (see column 11, lines 1-28).

Application/Control Number: 09/731,211

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 9, Stewart further teaches the plurality of geographic samples of a wireless terminal are represented by latitude and longitude coordinates (see column 7, lines 18-21 and column 7, lines 62-63 "GPS").

Regarding claim 15, Stewart further teaches the advertisement is transmitted using a medium from the group consisting of e-mail, voice mail, facsimile, paper, banner ads and television commercials (see column 12, lines 39-43).

Regarding claim 16, Stewart further teaches the advertisement is transmitted to the user of the wireless terminal in accordance a user delivery preference (see column 10, lines 39-43).

Regarding claim 17, Stewart further teaches the making an offer to the seller to advertise to the user of the wireless terminal (see column 2, lines 63-67 or see column 1, lines 42-63).

Regarding claim 18, Stewart further teaches purging location samples after a predetermined period of time (see column 3, lines 1-4).

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Stewart teaches the method of claims 10. Stewart does not specifically disclose receiving an indication of the seller's willingness to pay for transmission of the advertisement to the user of the wireless terminal.

Calvert teaches receiving an indication of the seller's willingness to pay for transmission of the advertisement to the user of the wireless terminal (see column 3, lines 34-39 or see column 19, lines 30-36)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Calvert into the system of

Art Unit: 2686

Stewart in order to allow the user of wireless terminal to know he/she does not have to pay for the advertising fees.

Page 6

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stewart (US 6,546,257) in view of Calvert (US 6,526,275)v and further in view of Chern et al (US 6,456,854).

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Stewart and Calvert teaches the method of claims 10. The combination of Stewart and Calvert does not specifically disclose that the location samples of the wireless terminal are received via a web interface.

Chern teaches the location samples of the wireless terminal are received via a web interface (see column 8, lines 21-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Chern into the system of Stewart and Calvert so that the location of a mobile telephone device can be obtained through the internet (see Chern, column 1, lines 60-65).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 02/23/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 6 of applicant's remarks, applicant argues that "there is no teaching or suggestion in Calvert, either alone or in combination with Stewart, regarding the use of demographic information as part of the seller selection process."

Application/Control Number: 09/731,211 Page 7

Art Unit: 2686

The examiner, however, disagree, in Calvert, (see column 3, lines 29-38) teaches "demographics information pertaining the user of the communication device, to a plurality of product provider", (column 9, lines 16-21) teaches "general demographic information of the device user to the selected product providers" and also (see column 14, lines 5-29, and see column 15, lines 45-59) which teaches applicant's claimed limitation. Therefore, the combination of Calvert and Stewart does indeed teach applicant's claimed invention.

On page 6 of applicant's remarks, applicant further argues that "Chern lacks any teaching of using demographic information of the potential purchaser in the merchant selection process."

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In this case, Calvert teaches using demographic information of the potential purchaser in the merchant selection process, and the combination of Stewart, Calvert and Chern does indeed teach applicant's claimed invention. In addition, applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claim 10 above.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS.MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/731,211

Art Unit: 2686

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (703) 605-5164. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 09/731,211

Art Unit: 2686

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi H. Ly

05/15/04
CHARLES APPIAH

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 9